

"From the cowardice that shrinks from new truth, from the laziness that is content with half-truths, from the arrogance that thinks it knows all truth, O, God of Truth, deliver us."



A Controversial Newsletter "The Printed Voice of Summit Theological Seminary"

~ All articles are written by George L. Faull, Rel. D. unless otherwise stated ~

Vol. 30 No. 2

April 2017

George L. Faull, Editor

Are Miscarriages and Aborted Babies and the Mentally Disabled Saved?

Dear Brother Faull,

Will infants, miscarriages, aborted children and mentally disabled child-like persons (regardless of their age), be saved?

ANSWER:

From the Scriptures, it is easily deduced that they will be saved.

I believe the Scripture principles help us see that this is true:

1. David sure thought his illegitimate child (by Bathsheba) would spend eternity with him. The child had died and David had fasted before the child died and his servants wondered why he should stop fasting after he died? His answer gives hope to all of us who have lost children who had not had a chance to be accountable.

2 Samuel 12:21-23, "21 Then said his servants unto him, What thing is this that thou hast done? thou didst fast and weep for the child, *while it was* alive; but when the child was dead, thou didst rise and eat bread. 22 And he said, While the child was yet alive, I fasted and wept: for I said, Who can tell *whether* GOD will be gracious to me, that the child may live? 23 But now he is dead, wherefore should I fast? can I bring him back again? **I shall go to him, but he shall not return to me.**"

Obviously he expected a future reunion with his son!

2. Jesus rebuked His disciples when they wanted to make the children go away. What did He say to them? **Luke 18:15-17**, "15 And they brought unto him also infants, that he would touch them: but when *his* disciples saw *it*, they rebuked them. 16 But Jesus called them *unto him*, and said, **Suffer little children to come unto me, and forbid them not: for of such is the kingdom of God.** 17 Verily I say unto you, **Whosoever shall not receive the kingdom of God as a little child shall in no wise enter therein.**"

Little children are innocent, teachable, humble and ignorant of Spiritual things, but such are those in the Kingdom of God. That surely shows they are not forbidden to enter His presence.

In fact, if we are not converted to be like children, we cannot enter the Kingdom of Heaven. **Matthew 18:3**, "And said, Verily I say unto you, **Except ye be converted, and become as little children, ye shall not enter into the kingdom of heaven.**"

3. Look at what God spoke to Israel about entering the Promised Land.

Deuteronomy 1:39, "Moreover your little ones, which ye said should be a prey, and your children, **which in that day had no knowledge between good and evil**, they shall go in thither, and unto them will I give it, and they shall possess it."

If He let the little ones go into the Promised Land due to their lack of knowledge of right and wrong, should His grace not be shown to an aborted or miscarried baby or any person who cannot think or reason right and wrong for themselves?

4. God rebuked Jonah who eagerly waited to see all in Ninevah destroyed.

Jonah 4:11, "And should not I spare Nineveh, that great city, wherein are more than sixscore thousand **persons that cannot discern between their right hand and their left hand**; and *also* much cattle?"

This shows damning the unborn or ignorant for eternity would be against the character and grace of God. It is one thing to destroy such persons physically in Noah's flood or Sodom's destruction, it is another to destroy their soul in eternal hell.

5. The Gospel of John is pertinent to the discussions.

John 9:39-41, "39 And Jesus said, For judgment I am come into this world, that they which see not might see; and that they which see might be made blind. 40 And *some* of the Pharisees which were with him heard these words, and said unto him, Are we blind also? 41 Jesus said unto them, **If ye were blind, ye should have no sin: but now ye say, We see; therefore your sin remaineth.**"

People who claim to see are held accountable for their sin. The unborn, miscarried and helplessly ignorant are therefore not accountable.

6. Observe who Ezra held accountable to listen to the Law and who is not.

Nehemiah 8:2-3, “2 And Ezra the priest brought the law before the congregation both of men and women, **and all that could hear with understanding**, upon the first day of the seventh month. 3 And he read therein before the street that was before the water gate from the morning until midday, before the men and the women, **and those that could understand**; and the ears of all the people were attentive unto the book of the law.

7. **Romans 1:19-24**, “19 Because that which may be known of God is manifest in them; for God hath shewed it unto them. 20 **For the invisible things of him from the creation of the world are clearly seen, being understood by the things that are made, even his eternal power and Godhead; so that they are without excuse**: 21 Because that, when they knew God, they glorified him not as God, neither were thankful; but became vain in their imaginations, and their foolish heart was darkened. 22 Professing themselves to be wise, they became fools, 23 And changed the glory of the uncorruptible God into an image made like to corruptible man, and to birds, and fourfooted beasts, and creeping things. 24 Wherefore God also gave them up to uncleanness through the lusts of their own hearts, to dishonour their own bodies between themselves.”

The person who cannot deduce that there is a Creator with eternal powers due to a lack of intelligence, will have an excuse, but no intelligent person will have an excuse. The idolater is without excuse. An unborn child or mentally incapable person is excused.

8. **Romans 2:14-16**, “14 For when the Gentiles, which have not the law, do by nature the things contained in the law, these, having not the law, are a law unto themselves: 15 **Which shew the work of the law written in their hearts, their conscience also bearing witness, and their thoughts the mean while accusing or else excusing one another;** 16 **In the day when God shall judge the secrets of men by Jesus Christ according to my gospel.**”

Those without conscience, no law written upon their heart, no rational thoughts would be therefore free from the judgement of a just God because where there is no Law, there is no transgression.

Romans 5:13, “(For until the law sin was in the world: but sin is not imputed when there is no law.”

Romans 7:9, “For I was alive without the law once: but when the commandment came, sin revived, and I died.”

9. When asked who is greatest in the Kingdom of Heaven, Jesus used a little child as an object lesson. After His lecture warning against offending, despising little children He tells in **Matthew 18:11**, “...even so, it is not the will of my father, who is in heaven, that one of these little ones should perish!”

Obviously if He does not desire the perishing of a little humble child, he does not desire an unborn child to perish.

These above thoughts will bring no comfort to a Calvinist who believe that before the foundation of the earth God elected certain persons to salvation as well as damnation. There is no way that a Calvinist would know if their loved one was elected for salvation or damnation.

Neither is any comfort given to those who believe the doctrine of Original Sin which teaches all persons have inherited the guilt of Adam’s sin and are therefore, guilty before God and are thus damned because they were never converted.

Furthermore, it brings no comfort to those who believe in baptismal regeneration. You cannot baptize miscarriages, abortions, children born dead, and should not baptize any but believers.

However, those of us who believe that those who do not know right from wrong or cannot reason or believe are not beyond the love and grace of God.

James 4:17 says, “He that knoweth to do good and doeth it not to him it is sin...!” That is the sin of omission. Sin is a transgression of the Law. That is the sin of commission. An infant or mentally incompetent person cannot be guilty of either omission or commission because they cannot disbelieve without a rational mind. He is therefore blameless before God.

The insights we have given here are comforting and we are assured of their salvation by Christ’s blood. **1 John 2:2**, “And he (Jesus) is the propitiation for our sins: and not for ours (believers) only, but also for the sins of the whole world.”

However, let me make myself clear. I am speaking of persons who are of this number before they ever had accountability. I am not speaking of people who lost their reason or accountability from accidents or sickness after accountability had been reached.

Conclusion: Shall not the judge of the earth do right?

Jesus Versus Spartacus

--By Terry Carter

From 73-71 B.C., a man named Spartacus led a rebellion of slaves against the Roman Empire. Hollywood told their version of the tale in a 1960 movie starring Kirk Douglas. Of course, they put their own spin on the story.

Historians are divided as to what motivated Spartacus. In the Hollywood telling, he is interested in ending slavery throughout the empire.

The Hollywood version also includes a romantic angle with Spartacus taking a fellow slave woman as a wife and fathering a child by her. While the Hollywood version may not be the most accurate from a historical perspective, it does provide some food for thought as does the actual historical facts.

At that time about one of every three people in the empire were slaves. History tells us that at one time he led as many as 70,000 men. Spartacus was also an excellent tactician enjoying surprising success against the Roman armies. Eventually the rebellion was defeated.

It is unclear from historical records whether Spartacus survived the final battle with Rome or not. The Hollywood version has him crucified on a cross at the end with his wife showing their son to him and promising to tell him about his father.

What we do know is that some 6,000 survivors of the final battle were hung on crosses along the Appian Way from Rome to Capua. This is a distance of 120 miles. That means that there were 50 crosses every mile for 120 miles. That amounts to one cross about every 105 feet. Rome wanted to send a clear message to any would be rebels.

Two of the most memorable lines in the movie have to do with Rome's desire to be certain that Spartacus is dead. The survivors were asked which one of them was Spartacus. They all began to yell, "I am Spartacus".

Later, his wife was taken by the Roman General that defeated him. She and he both believed that Spartacus was dead. She told him that when Spartacus died, thousands would have gladly died in his place.

About 100 years later, a man named Jesus died on a cross outside Jerusalem. He came to save us from the slavery and bondage of sin.

When He died, nobody volunteered to take His place. The crowd preferred a rebel and a murderer be released rather than Jesus. His closest followers fled in fear at His arrest. But He did not need anyone to die in His place. He came to die in our place.

Jesus never led an army or rebelled against Rome. When He was arrested, He asked them why they came with swords and clubs. He told His disciples to put away their swords and even healed one of them who came to arrest Him. He willingly suffered on our behalf.

Crucifixion was the end of the rebellion for Spartacus, but it only marked the beginning for Jesus. Spartacus is still dead today, but Jesus rose from the dead and is alive forevermore.

Nobody followed Spartacus after his death. Much of the world has followed Jesus since His death.

Spartacus brought about the death of tens of thousands and accomplished nothing in the end. Jesus has brought eternal life to millions and only His kingdom has endured and will endure in the end.

Ultimately, Spartacus could not end slavery of any kind. Jesus ended spiritual slavery for whosoever will and His followers put an end to physical slavery in most of the world.

Spartacus did what man can do. Jesus did what no mere man could ever do.

Praise God for what Jesus has done for us.

Please Contact Us If You Desire Any of the Following:

- ➔ **Summit Theological Seminary Catalog (Free)**
- ➔ **Voices of Victory Tape, CD, DVD, and Article Catalog (Free)**
- ➔ **Sermon Subscription**
Listen to 4 sermons in a month by Receiving 24 of George L. Faull's sermons on CD twice a year (48 for the whole year) at only \$2.00 per CD. (These will be mailed out and billed \$48.00 twice a year - a total of \$96.00. Also saves you on postage costs.)
Or - Audio Tapes
- ➔ **One Year's Subscription of the Gospel Unashamed**
\$5.00 a year, which is mailed out quarterly. You will receive 4 issues a year. Or, GOSPEL UNASHAMED on the Internet for **FREE**. (Please send your name, contact number, and email address.)
- ➔ **Information on Annuities or Gold**

SUMMIT THEOLOGICAL SEMINARY
2766 Airport Road - Peru, IN 46970
(765) 472-4111

summit1@myvine.com / www.summit1.org

Jihad and Crusades

-- By Terry Carter

Muslims and their apologists like to bring up the crusades to argue that Islam and Christianity are on equal ground when it comes to violence and atrocities. Of course, the crusades cannot justify Islamic Jihad, but they try to deflect the conversation, focus, and blame off themselves and onto Christianity. Such a comparison is poor reasoning for a number of reasons. It is trying to compare apples and oranges.

1. It was not Christians that carried out the crusades, it was the Roman Catholic Church. In fact, the atrocities committed were not what the Church authorized; it's what individuals did as a result of their having been "absolved" of their sins by the Church.

Clearly these people were not following either the teachings or examples of Jesus or the apostles. They weren't even following the directives of the Catholic Church.

2. What happened in the Crusades was despite what Jesus and the apostles had taught about loving your enemies and being at peace with all men as much as it lies within you. By contrast, the jihad (holy war) of the Muslims is a direct result of following the teaching and example of their prophet Muhammad.

There is a big difference between those who violate the teachings of Jesus yet still call themselves "Christians" and those who follow the teaching and example of Muhammad while calling themselves "Muslims".

3. Where did the Roman Catholic Church get the idea of a holy war in the first place? Straight from Islam. The Muslims had been doing it for centuries before anyone in the "Christian" world decided to try it themselves. That was how the religion of Islam was spread in the beginning.

Christianity, by contrast, was spread by the blood of the martyrs. It grew despite persecution for the first three centuries or so. Islam grew by persecution of the "infidel".

4. The Crusades came in response to Muslim aggression, not in response to Biblical teaching. They were a direct result of the slaughter of tens of thousands of Christians by the Muslims. Many thought the response was delayed far too long. Nevertheless, it was Muslim tactics that were adopted.

The crusaders were attempting to regain territory that the Muslims had taken from them. They were

certainly not attacking peaceful neighbors like the Muslims have done throughout their history and continue to do today.

5. The Crusades were abandoned nearly a thousand years ago. No Christian living today had anything to do with the Crusades. In fact, there is no organized effort among professed Christians to resume such a thing. However, countless Muslims living today have taken part, or are taking part, in Jihad.

There are many organized efforts among Muslims to carry out Jihad. Just watch the news to see the truth of this. All over the world Christians are being actively persecuted by Muslims today. The fact that Muslim apologists have to go back nearly a millennium to find anything comparable in "Christianity", speaks volumes.

This whole thing reminds me of the schoolyard bully who cries that another kid hit him last month. Of course, he conveniently leaves out the fact that he has been tormenting and physically abusing that kid for months both before and after that incident. The bottom line is that the Crusades were in opposition to the teaching and example of Jesus, the apostles, and early Christians.

I find it appalling that some of our leaders refuse to label anything ISIS does as "Islamic", yet they have no trouble labeling the Crusades as "Christian".

I find it absolutely ridiculous that when ISIS is slaughtering Christian children by the hundreds, they believe that lecturing Christians about the misdeeds of the Catholic Church one thousand years ago is an appropriate response. But if they are going to do that, they ought to at least take time to get their facts straight.

FACEBOOK...



Visit us on
Facebook

--By Jeff Faulk

I'm not on **FaceBook**, I generally just stick to Twitter for multiple personal reasons. It's not that I think there is anything wrong with **FaceBook**, in fact I sometimes regret not being more engaged with people that way. But one of the most discouraging and heartbreaking things about social media for me is that I often see it in **HD**. Not only in **High Definition** but in **Holiness Deficiency**.

Longtime allegedly mature believers whom I love and some I have taught for nearly 30 years, displaying what they view and do/ wear and bare /condemn and condone / how they think and drink /feel and deal/like and dislike/approve and move/discern and learn-stunted sanctification, halfway holiness-inhibited integrity-corrupted character and its not only revealing and exposing their deficiency in holiness but it shines a light on the deficiencies in my own life and soul.

Anointing of the Holy Spirit

-- By Terry Carter

Dear Brother Carter,

What is the anointing of the Holy Spirit that John mentions in **I John 2:20-27**? We have a lot of charismatic people in this area and they believe John is speaking about a special anointing that only certain individuals receive.

ANSWER: We need to put this in context. Two things in particular are important to remember:

1. At the time John was writing this, there were still those around on whom the apostles had laid their hands. They did, in fact, have a special measure of the Spirit. However, this is not available to us today as no apostles are living.
2. The Book of **I John** was written in response to the Gnostics. Their name means "the knowing ones". They believed that they had special personal revelation that could only come to certain people. This knowledge was not available by any ordinary means, according to their belief.

There are those who hang their hat on the first point above. They believe that John is referring to those among them who had the miraculous gifts of the Holy Spirit. Personally, I am not convinced by this, although there may be something to it. The language of the passage itself and the context seem to indicate that John is talking to any and all Christians, not just particular individuals.

I believe John is refuting the Gnostic teaching here. He is saying that you don't need to let these people deceive you. You have the Holy Spirit dwelling in you and that is far better than their supposed "hidden knowledge". Further, they had the revealed Word of God through the apostles.

You will notice that John specifically mentioned those who were trying to deceive them. Also, he says that they know the truth. No hidden knowledge can change that. If what they heard from the beginning abides in them (the truth they already know) they will abide in the Son and the Father. They don't need any supposed "knowing one" (Gnostic) to teach them. They had already been taught and had the Spirit within them. All they needed to do was to abide in what they had already been taught.

If you think about it a bit, you realize that the Charismatics are a modern day counterpart to the Gnostics. They claim to have hidden personal knowledge that cannot be gained through any normal means. They try to deceive us with such supposed revelation. But we have no need to be taught by them because we already know the truth and we have the Spirit dwelling within us. All we need to do is abide in what we have already been taught. We don't need these "knowing ones" (Charismatics) to teach us.

Equipped to Defend

-- By Ryan Cox

Abortions in 1970: 193,491 (52 per 1,000 live births, 530 per day).

Abortions in 1973: (year of *Roe v Wade*): 615,831 (196 per 1,000 live births, 1,687 per day).

Abortions in 1990: (peak year): 1,429,247 (345 per 1,000 live births, 3,915 per day).

Abortions in 2013: (most recent data, lowest year since *Roe*): 664,435 (200 per 1,000 live births, 1,820 per day).

State with the highest percentage of abortions: New York at 24% of pregnancies (36.3% for New York City, 13.4% for the rest of the state).

Of the 1,671 abortion facilities in the U.S. in 2014, 512 were in California.

The U.S. has gone from 2,176 surgical abortion facilities in 1991 to 517 in 2015; an 81% drop.

54 abortion facilities closed in 2015: more than one per week.

Medical abortion clinics have risen from 131 in 2009 to 213 in 2015.

Many surgical clinics have closed and reopened as medication clinics to avoid regulations and licensing requirements.

90% of U.S. counties do not have an abortion provider.

57% of California's counties do have an abortion provider.

Average wait time for an abortion is 8.5 days.

85.2% of women who obtain an abortion are not married.

59.8% of women who obtain an abortion have had one or more children.

45.0% of women who obtain an abortion have had more than one abortion.

Less than 1% of all abortions are because of rape or incest.

Since 1973, there have been 495 reports to the CDC of women dying from an abortion procedure.

But here is one more statistic for you to ponder: would it surprise you to know that 36% of woman who obtain an abortion attend Church services at least once a month?

According to Care Net and LifeWay Research's 2015 national survey, 36% of women (over 1 in 3) who have obtained an abortion were attending Church services once a month or more when they received their abortion. 20% of them (1 in 5) were attending Church services weekly.

In a survey conducted in 2015 by the American Culture & Faith Institute (Executive Director, Dr. George Barna), 22 social and political issues were identified as important to conservative and moderate Christians, about which they would desire their preacher to preach or provide more information. Of the 22 issues, 94% of the respondents ranked abortion as "very" or "extremely" important for the congregation to receive Biblical teaching. That landed the topic of abortion at #1 of the 22 issues.

That's what the people in churches want, but only 59% of "theologically conservative" preachers say they preach on abortion. In fact, when asked to prioritize their sense of importance for 20 current issues, 79% deemed religious freedom as "critical", 67% deemed the national debt as "critical", and 62% deemed abortion as "critical". Same-sex marriage came in at 56% of "theologically conservative" preachers deeming it as a "critical" issue.

In fact, only 50% of Church members could recall their preacher teaching on abortion, and only 46% of members could recall their preacher teaching on same-sex marriage.

The Church of Jesus Christ Needs to be Informed and Active.

To assist with this in regard to abortion, the materials by John Michener and the Oklahoma United for Life are highly recommended. Here is a brief synopsis of their witnessing techniques:

ADJUSTING THE MORAL COMPASS

If someone is arguing that a woman should be able to have an abortion because she is too poor, 1) Agree that some women are poor and it would be hard for them to raise a child, 2) Pretend there is a toddler beside you and ask, "If say a two-year-old's mother was too poor to raise him, would it be okay for her to kill him?", 3) If they say "No", kindly keep asking, "Why not" until they give a principle that it is wrong to kill an innocent human being, 4) Finally respond to their *moral* answer, "Ah! Then the issue isn't poverty, but whether or not we can kill innocent human beings. If the unborn are human beings, then shouldn't we protect them just like we protect our two-year-olds?"

DEFENDING OUR HUMANITY

When someone is arguing that an unborn baby is not a living human being, one can use the "Ten-Second Pro-Life Apologist": 1) Is it living? It is eating (metabolizing food), growing (cellular reproduction), and responding (reacts to stimuli and environment)?, 2) Is it human? It has human parents and human DNA, 3) Is it an individual? All

of its parts (the entire genome) is there developing (not constructed one part at a time), 4) Conclude, "Then if the unborn are growing, eating, and responding, they must be alive. If they have human parents and DNA, they must be human. So don't you think that living humans, or human beings like you and me are valuable and should be protected?"

DEFENDING HUMAN RIGHTS & VALUE

Question: "Do you believe that everyone should have the same basic rights such as life and freedom? Should we be treated equally under the law? Yet there are so many differences between us: men and women, big and small. We are all so different from one another yet there is something that is the same or equal about all of us that demands we should be treated equally. What is the same about all of us?"

Answer: "We are all unique, individual human beings."

If someone gives any explanation about born human beings having rights and unborn humans do not, ask if that explanation for equal rights applies to adults, infants, the handicap, to animals, etc. and then ask why a living human being inside a womb or outside a womb makes a difference as to whether he or she has equal rights and protection of the law.

DEFENDING BODILY RIGHTS

Bodily Rights arguments usually involve the slogan "My body, my choice" and include the arguments of a "Sovereign Zone" and a "Right to Refuse".

Sovereign Zone argument: A woman has an absolute right to do whatever she wants with her body. 1) Clarify by asking, "Do you mean the unborn is a literal part of the woman's body, like an arm or kidney?" 2) Challenge the Sovereign Zone: "If you believe pregnant women have a right to do anything with their bodies, do you think it should be legal for them to use hard drugs that will cause severe birth defects?" 3) If they answer, "No", ask: "If it is wrong for women to take drugs that will lead to deformations of a baby when it is their body and they have a right to do what they want, then why is it not wrong for women to take drugs that will lead to the death of a baby?"

Right to Refuse argument: A woman is no more obligated to donate the use of her body to sustain a fetus than she is obligated to donate one of her kidneys to help a stranger. 1) Clarify by asking, "Are you saying the decision to donate a kidney and choosing to continue a pregnancy are equivalent? Can you see any differences between the two?" 2) Differences between the two: temporary (pregnancy) vs indefinite (kidney gone forever), stranger (didn't choose his condition) vs parent (made decisions that led to treatment vs killing, not responsible vs responsible, etc. 3) Focusing on Responsibility: "Why is it wrong for parents to ignore their child's well-being (DCFS will take the child) but not wrong for a mother to

end her child's life (abortion)?" If men can be held liable for child support, even if they no longer want the responsibility, should women be held to the same standard? If men are expected to support a child for 18 years after birth, shouldn't women be expected to support that same child for 9 months before birth?"

The members of our churches need to be armed with the Truth of God's Word and the means of defending it. They also need to know that the Church is a place of love and help, including those who find themselves pregnant and have contemplated abortion.

Every congregation should be ready to love on and help the repentant individual who is going through enormous amounts of pressure, stress, and fear. Would Jesus not offer His arms of love and support, making it all the more possible to welcome that precious mother and child into His Church?

We obviously do not condone sex or pregnancy out of wedlock, but we most certainly do not withhold the love of Christ from anyone, either. How, then, would any of us be saved if the love of Jesus and His sacrifice for our sins had not been shown to us?

May we all be about the business of saving lives, both physical and spiritual.

Summit's School of Preaching Fall Schedule

Semester Begins: August 22nd, 2017
Fall Break – Week of Nov. 20th – 24th, 2017
Semester Ends: December 14th, 2017

TUESDAYS

6:30-8:30P – **Daniel** – George L. Faull/Terry Carter

WEDNESDAYS

9am-Noon – **Romans 1-8** – George L. Faull
 1:30P-4:30P – **Problem Solving Leader** – Jerry Paul

THURSDAYS

9am-Noon – **Gospels 1** – Shane Capps
 1:30P-4:30P – **Christian Doctrine** – Terry Carter
 6:30P-8:30P – **Prophecies Jesus Made** – G.Faull/T.Carter

Audit Students can attend for FREE!

Special Tuesday Night Classes in Madison, IN

7-9PM – **TBA** – Kendall Faull – Special Class at Liberty Christian Church – Madison, IN

Visit our Website for the registration form or to obtain more details of each class.

A Classic American Hymn of Praise

--By Kendall Faull



(Sung to the tune of, "Be Thou My Vision)

Be thou Television, the Lord of my heart;
 Naught else I watch, from morning till dark -
 Dominate my thoughts, by day or by night;
 Waking till sleeping, in the presence of thy light.

Rob me of wisdom, and every true word;
 I ever believe thee and thou art my Lord.
 Forgot my great Father so thine own may I be,
 Flat screens in my dwelling so I'm one with thee.

Riches I heed not, you drain all my money away;
 Rob me of my inheritance, advertising always;
 Thou and thou only first in my heart,
 Watch thee online now, my treasure now parts.

Forgotten about heaven, your victory won;
 Obsessed with earthly joys, I never see the sun!
 Lust of my own lusts, whatever befall;
 I love thee television, O Ruler of all.

RESPONSE FROM HIS BROTHER, JEFF:

"The best thing Dad ever did was deprive us of TV. I have 3 of them and I can't remember the last time one was on. I can barely name a popular sitcom right now and I'd rather read a book. On top of that, because I read instead of watching TV, I have a modicum of vocabulary with which to express myself." –Jeff Faull

IMPORTANT EMAIL NOTICE...

Summit's Comcast email is being phased out. Please remove summit2@comcast.net and use our main email address at summit1@myvine.com. Thank you!

How to Partake of the Greatest Memorial in the World

1 Corinthians 11:17-34

5 Mistakes Corinth Made About the Memorial

1. You're coming together is not for the better but for the worse – vs 17.
2. Your divisions prove it – vs 15-18.
3. The factions show only some are approved among you – vs 19.
4. When you come together in one place you would never know it is to eat the Lord's Supper – vs 20.
5. Some are hungry, others are full – You're despising the Church and shaming the poor – vs 21-23.

5 Things Jesus Did the Night He Was Betrayed

1. He gave thanks – vs 24.
2. He broke the bread – vs 24.
3. He said, "Take, eat, this do in remembrance of Me" – vs 24.
4. He did the same with the cup of the Covenant of His blood – vs 25.
5. He told them to do this each time they partook of it, "In Remembrance of Me" – vs 26.

5 Things to Meditate On When You Partake of His Memorial

1. **LOOK BACKWARD** – To His CROSS and proclaim His death – vs 26.
2. **LOOK FORWARD** – To His COMING – vs 26.
3. **LOOK INWARD** – To your CONSCIENCE and examine yourself – vs 28.
4. **LOOK OUTWARD** – To the CHURCH (body of Christ) and their needs – vs 29.
5. **LOOK UPWARD** – To your CROWN – vs 19.

5 Warnings for Partaking of His Memorial in an "Unworthy" Manner

1. You despise and shame the Church (No praise given) – vs 22.
2. You're guilty of the body and blood of the Lord – vs 27.
3. You're drinking damnation & judgment to yourself for not judging the needs of the body; Christ's Church- vs 28-29.
4. Many in the Church grow weak, sickly, and some have died – vs 30.
5. If we judge not ourselves, we are chastened by the Lord and risk being condemned with the world – vs 32-34.

QUESTIONS:

Do you drink damnation to yourself by not following this ordinance of Christ as He did it and as He revealed it by His apostle in correcting the abuse of the Memorial Supper at Corinth?

Is Your Memorial of Jesus' Death, Great...or Grate?

From a Sermon by George L. Faull
First delivered 10-09-1960 at Bible Grove, IL
Chart by George L. Faull, Rel. D. © 2017

